МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКАЯ БИОЛОГИЯ, БИОИНФОРМАТИКА / MATHEMATICAL BIOLOGY, BIOINFORMATICS

DOI: https://doi.org/10.18454/jbg.2023.22.4

BIOINFORMATIC ANALYSIS OF GENOMIC ISLANDS IN WHOLE GENOMES OF PISCIRICKETTSIA SALMONIS STRAINS

Research article

Soto-Rauch G.¹, Nourdin-Galindo G.², Haussmann D.³, Figueroa J.^{4, *}

⁴ORCID : 0000-0003-3036-6604; ^{1, 4}Austral University of Chile, Valdivia, Chile ²MELISA Institute, Concepcion, Chile ³University of Santo Tomas, Valdivia, Chile

* Corresponding author (jefigueroa[at]uach.cl)

Abstract

Piscirickettsiosis, the cause of great losses in Chilean salmonid population, is produced by *Piscirickettsia salmonis*. The bacterium has developed resistance to treatments over time, including antibiotics and vaccines, due to a variety of evasive strategies, including biofilm formation. The bacterium has genomic islands, clusters of relevant genes that vary between genomes. This work aimed to analyze the relationship between number of pathogenic islands and their virulence genes in complete genomes from different strains of EM and LF genogroups, besides the search for genomic islands with non-virulent factors, like metabolic islands and fitness. Furthermore, we evaluated conservation and variation between strains from different genogroup, considering LF-89 (ATCC) and Psal-001 as reference strains, analyzing genomes through averages by two bioinformatic tools. About 13 pathogenic islands/strain were quantified, with 1 to 47 loci/island with unique behaviors for genogroup. Comparing islands regarding genomes, higher conservation rate was seen in EM genogroup, against oscillating values within LF genogroup strains. Non-virulent factors were also found. Overall, EM genogroup showed greater convergence in island conservation between strains concerning the pathogenic function compared to LF strains. Finally, different transposases were found to support high genomic plasticity and the scarcity of cured genes complicated correct identification.

Keywords: genomic islands, genogroup, Piscirickettsia salmonis, strain, pathogenicity.

БИОИНФОРМАТИЧЕСКИЙ АНАЛИЗ ГЕНОМНЫХ ОСТРОВОВ В ЦЕЛЫХ ГЕНОМАХ ШТАММОВ PISCIRICKETTSIA SALMONIS

Научная статья

Сото-Рауч Г.¹, Ноурдин-Галиндо Г.², Хауссманн Д.³, Фигероа Х.^{4, *} ⁴ ORCID : 0000-0003-3036-6604; ^{1, 4} Южный университет Чили, Вальдивия, Чили ² Институт MELISA, Консепсьон, Чили ³ Университет Санто-Томас, Вальдивия, Чили

* Корреспондирующий автор (jefigueroa[at]uach.cl)

Аннотация

Патология, вызываемая *Piscirickettsia salmonis*, является причиной больших потерь в популяции лососевых в Чили. Со временем бактерия выработала устойчивость к терапии, включая антибиотики и вакцины, благодаря разнообразию стратегий избегания, включая образование биопленки. У бактерии есть геномные острова, кластеры релевантных генов, которые изменяются в разных геномах. Целью этой работы был анализ взаимосвязи между количеством патогенных островов и их генами вирулентности в полных геномах различных штаммов геногрупп ЕМ и LF, помимо поиска геномных островов с невирулентными факторами, например, метаболические острова и приспособленность. Кроме того, мы оценили сохранность и вариабельность между штаммами из разных геногрупп, рассматривая LF-89 (АТСС) и Psal-001 в качестве референтных штаммов, анализ геномов используя средние значения используя два биоинформатических инструментов. Было количественно определено около 13 патогенных остров/штаммов, от 1 до 47 локусов/остров с уникальным поведением для геногруппы. Сравнение островов в отношении геномов, более высокий уровень сохранения наблюдается в геногруппе ЕМ, против колеблющихся значений среди штаммов геногруппы LF. Также были обнаружены невирулентные факторы. В целом группа генов ЕМ продемонстрировала большую конвергенцию в сохранении островов между штаммами в отношении патогенной деятельности по сравнению со штаммами LF. Окончательно было обнаружено, что различные транспозиции поддерживают высокую геномную пластичность, а отсутствие подтвержденных генов затрудняло их правильную идентификацию.

Ключевые слова: геномный остров, геногруппа, Piscirickettsia salmonis, штамм, патогенность.

Introduction

The control of piscirickettsiosis in Chile has been addressed using antibiotics, which has decreased the susceptibility of the pathogen to these treatments [1]. *Piscirickettsia salmonis*, its etiological agent, is one of the main threats to the salmon industry, causing almost 60% of all deaths from infectious diseases of Chilean salmonid population [2]. The pathogen was originally identified in coho salmon and corresponds to a Gram-negative, facultative, intracellular, aerobic and non-motile coccoid, with a diameter ranging between 0.5-1.5 micrometers [3].

P. salmonis generates outbreaks of piscirickettsiosis in host fish population, producing systemic injuries with a variety of clinical signs. It is also characterized by functional mechanisms that increase its survival like biofilms formation on different parts of the host and form viable structures on inorganic materials, highlighting its role as a facultative pathogen [4].

Bioinformatic tools and approaches can provide deeper insights into the genomic foundations of microorganism's pathological activities. Genomic islands, ranging in size from 10 to 200 kb, play a key role in this context as they contain genes with functional interest that are grouped by mobile genetic elements [5]. They possess distinct characteristics such as direct repeat sequences, low GC content, virulence or resistance factors and transposons which identify them as islands [6].

Genomic islands have been categorized into 'fitness', 'ecological' and 'pathogenicity islands' [7]. They can be further classified as 'saprophytic', 'symbiosis' or a pathogenicity island, in the case of bacteria interacting with hosts [8]. These are common among *Yersinia spp.*, fecal *E. coli*, and *Klebsiella sp*, with their classification as pathogenic, saprophytic, and ecological respectively being well-established. The presence of the island in *Yersinia sp* is directly associated with an increase in its pathogenicity. Nonpathogenic strains lacking these islands may restructure their genomes into fitness or ecological islands for optimal adaptation.

A previous report analyzed a pathogenicity island with 38.57% GC content, where 23 genes were reported, registering both hypothetical proteins and genes associated with virulence, using PIPS (Pathogenicity Island Prediction Software). The virulence mechanisms of this pathogen and many others can be understood by analyzing pathogenicity islands, mobile genetic elements that rotate between chromosomes and plasmids, possessing genes involved in virulent processes and/or antibiotic resistance. Three genes associated with virulence were evaluated: transcription-repair coupling protein (*tcf*), Mg-dependent DNase (*dnase*) gene and lytic transglycosylase (*liso*). They found a similarity between the above genes and those found in *Neptunomonas antarctica*, *Endozoicomonas sp.* and *Legionella spiritensis*, respectively, and hypothetical proteins that have the potential of being compared against a database for future identification and classification [9].

The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) has a database containing annotated complete genomes of various strains of *P. salmonis*, making it possible to compare complete, assembled, and annotated strains and genomes. This includes the complete genome of the original strain isolated in Chile in 1989 (ATCC VR-1361) [10]. Over 80 complete genomes are available at the NCBI database today, in addition to new approaches from *in silico* studies, besides bioinformatics tools.

This work focused on analyzing the genomic islands in *P. salmonis*, evaluating the relationship between the number of pathogenicity islands and their virulence genes in complete genomes of different strains of the EM and LF genogroups, as well as determining the presence of fitness islands.

Research methods and principles

2.1. Bioinformatics tools and P. salmonis strains

P. salmonis strains Psal-006b, Psal-009 and Psal-011, Psal-001, Psal-005, Psal-069 and Psal-072 were selected because they were isolate from southern Chile after LF-89 isolation date, besides LF-89 as original strain. Genome information was downloaded from NCBI in gbk format (Table 1).

		1	0	50			
<u>Genogrou</u> <u>p</u>	<u>Strain</u>	<u>Isolation</u> <u>year</u>	<u>Species of</u> <u>origin</u>	<u>N° of</u> <u>plasmids</u>	<u>Genome</u> <u>size (Mb)</u>	<u>Annotated</u> <u>genes</u>	<u>Accession</u> <u>number</u> <u>(NCBI)</u>
LF	LF-89 ¹	1989	O. kisutch	4	3.50	3651	CP011849 .2
LF	Psal-006b	1997	S. salar	5	3.47	3680	CP038898 .1
LF	Psal-009	2000	O. kisutch	4	3.56	3791	CP038908 .1
LF	Psal-011	2013	S. salar	3	3.42	3672	CP038923 .1
EM	Psal-001	1990	O. kisutch	4	3.41	3680	CP038811 .1
EM	Psal-005	1991	S. salar	1	3.33	3524	CP038891 .1
EM	Psal-069	2016	S. salar	2	3.38	3626	CP038972 .1
EM	Psal-072	2017	S. salar	5	3.71	3929	CP039040 .1

Table 1 - Genomes of strains selected for in silico evaluation of genomic islands

DOI: https://doi.org/10.18454/jbg.2023.22.4.1

Two bioinformatics tools were selected for double prediction confirmation of candidate genomic islands. Genomic Island Prediction Software (GIPSy) v.1.1.3 (www.bioinformatics.org/groups/?group_id=1180) [11] and IslandViewer 4 (https://www.pathogenomics.sfu.ca/islandviewer) [12] were employed. GIPSy allows the prediction and analysis of genomic

islands, incorporating metabolic, resistance and symbiotic characteristics, in addition to pathogenic features; it requires two genomes to be contrasted against various databases. GIPSy is an update of the previously developed Pathogenicity Island Prediction Software (PIPS) [9] composed by eight sequential steps with BLASTp [13] analyses against various databases. The different steps in the tool considered sensitivity values and the causality E-value, which were well suited and employed by default. IslandViewer 4 software integrates four types of predictive methods for genomic islands: IslandPick [14], IslandPath-DIMOB [15], SIGI-HMM [16] and Islander [17]. It allows to view and download genomic island information for all published prokaryotic genomes.

2.2. Locus conservation between genomes and islands

The selected islands were ordered and broken down by each locus present, and the resulting matrices from BLASTp in GIPSy were used as a database for comparison against the gbk file format database.

The identity percentage of each intersected island was averaged to determine the degree of conservation between strains and genogroups. However, strains LF-89 and Psal-006b were determined differently from the rest, so the average included them. The same process was repeated but contrasting the ordered loci with respect to the same islands previously determined. The identity values obtained for each locus were averaged and grouped by island, both to compare with respect to genogroup and island, and to culminate in a comparison between genomes, averaging the values of the islands in each genome.

2.3. Search for non-pathogenic elements in genomic islands

The non-virulent factors determined by BLASTp against databases COG [18], ARDB [19], CARD [20], and NodMutDB [21] were compared to non-pathogenic genomic islands. The islands that possessed the metabolic, resistance and symbiotic factors were ordered and grouped by their genomic location. They were distributed in a table with column headings arranged as follows: genome (strain), island according to start-end designated by loci, percentage of each factor, and relationship with the previously described pathogenicity island.

The islands were broken down by loci, assigning an individual percentage of identity against the database and a percentage of identity with respect to LF-89 as the original strain, to distribute the information regarding the genes encountered in contrast with each strain.

2.4. Description of specific pathogenicity islands

The selection criteria used to analyze islands were most conserved in general, most conserved per genogroup, and less conserved, focusing on total values above 0%. These criteria were used to prioritize the value of the mean difference between genogroups.

Three islands for each category were selected for the subsequent description of their gene identity. GIPSy was used to confirm the islands, and Artemis (https://www.sanger.ac.uk/tool/artemis/), for genome visualization [22]. Blast2Go (https://www.blast2go.com) was used to analyze annotations and relationships with some processes or functions associated with gene ontology [23]. BLASTp was used to complement the Swiss-Prot database [24].

2.5. Visualization of genomic rearrangement in bacterial chromosomes

The eight bacterial strains under study were analyzed for synteny with the pyGenomeViz library [25]. The synteny is composed of all strains, separated by genogroups.

Main results

This study is an update of the previous report [9], using complete genomes and computer software to analyze genomic islands. The combined use of GIPSy and IslandViewer was used to determine the genomic islands of all eight strains. LF-89 and Psal-006b had different criteria selecting genomic island, because of the scarcity of double confirmation, besides in vitro genomic island for Psal-006b in previous analysis. Psal-009 exhibited the highest number with 16 islands, while Psal-011, Psal-001, Psal-005, Psal-069 and Psal-072 showed 12, 12, 12, 10, 12 islands, respectively (Table 2). Islands with complete intersections detected by GIPSy and reflected in IslandViewer were island 4 in Psal-011 (RS06445-RS06480) and island 4 in Psal-001 (RS06660-RS06695) (Table S1 & S2).

Table 2 - Total number of	genomic islands	per strain
---------------------------	-----------------	------------

<u>Strain / Criteria</u>	<u>IslandViewer</u>	<u>GIPSy</u>	<u>Double</u> confirmation	<u>Selected genomic</u> <u>islands</u>
LF-89	28	2	1	13
Psal-006b	26	1	0	13
Psal-009	30	23	16	16
Psal-011	27	20	12	12
Psal-001	28	23	12	12
Psal-005	29	21	12	12
Psal-069	27	18	10	10
Psal-072	27	20	12	12

DOI: https://doi.org/10.18454/jbg.2023.22.4.2

BLASTp was performed against GIPSy for all sequences, yielding a total of 56 databases. The results revealed a total of 100 islands among all strains, with an average of 12 loci per island. The largest islands were found in Psal-011 and Psal-069 with 47 loci. The averaged similarity between strains was established, evidencing that the islands from LF-89 and Psal-006

presented values lower than 75% at the genome level. The strains of the EM genogroup exhibited a higher percentage of identity, indicating that, with respect to all the genomes, they do present higher percent identity values. Another remarkable aspect was the decrease in the identity percentage of the islands with regard to the genome of LF-89, unlike its counterpart, the genome of Psal-072 shown in the eighth column, that was constantly increasing (Table 3).

Table 3 - Conservation of pathogenicity islands with respect to genome in each strain of *P. salmonis*

Percentag <u>e</u>	<u>LF-89</u>	<u>Psal-006b</u>	<u>Psal-009</u>	<u>Psal-011</u>	<u>Psal-001</u>	<u>Psal-005</u>	<u>Psal-069</u>	<u>Psal-072</u>	LF	<u>EM</u>	<u>Total</u>	Difference
<u>LF-89</u>	-	99.88	68.38	74.98	74.56	74.98	75.11	67.80	85.81	73.12	79.46	12.70
<u>Psal-006b</u>	99.07	-	69.99	69.54	72.16	69.61	69.54	68.81	84.65	70.03	77.34	14.62
<u>Psal-009</u>	46.16	46.17	-	82.39	82.37	82.37	82.39	82.30	68.68	82.36	75.52	13.68
<u>Psal-011</u>	52.90	52.87	98.73	-	99.76	99.87	100.00	95.64	76.13	98.82	87.47	22.69
<u>Psal-001</u>	57.88	57.80	98.95	99.51	-	99.56	99.51	96.99	78.54	99.01	88.77	20.48
<u>Psal-005</u>	52.18	52.17	98.20	99.83	97.64	-	99.83	93.38	75.59	97.71	86.65	22.12
<u>Psal-069</u>	53.51	53.50	98.63	99.99	99.71	99.84	-	96.26	76.41	98.95	87.68	22.54
<u>Psal072</u>	46.02	46.00	99.53	94.82	94.78	94.82	94.82	-	71.59	96.11	83.85	24.51

DOI: https://doi.org/10.18454/jbg.2023.22.4.3

The two strains with the highest percentage of conserved islands at the level of total genomes correspond to Psal-069 and Psal-005, respectively. Considering genome, the strain with the most conserved islands corresponds to Psal-001, with an average identity of 88.77% between genomes. The most conserved in the LF group was LF-89 with 85.81%, and with respect to EM, it was Psal-001 with 99.01%. The strains with the least general conservation at the chromosome level were LF-89, Psal-006b and Psal-009, but simultaneously showed the lowest variation between genogroups. Psal-011, despite being from the LF genogroup, both values were more alike to those of the EM genogroup, reaching values of 98.82% and 76.13% respectively.

The LF-89 and Psal-006b strains presented the least conserved islands, with values close to 3% for LF-89 and less than 1% for Psal-006b, except for their relationship with Psal-009, with 4.09% conservation between islands. Psal-009 was the one that showed the least difference between genogroups (Table 4).

Table 4 - Conservation of pathogenicity islands with respect to other pathogenicity islands in each strain

Percentag <u>e</u>	<u>LF-89</u>	Psal-006b	<u>Psal-009</u>	<u>Psal-011</u>	<u>Psal-001</u>	<u>Psal-005</u>	<u>Psal-069</u>	<u>Psal-072</u>	LF	<u>EM</u>	<u>Total</u>	Difference
<u>LF-89</u>	-	0.00	2.19	2.51	2.98	2.51	2.51	0.47	26.17	2.12	14.14	24.06
<u>Psal-006b</u>	0.00	-	4.79	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.54	26.31	0.48	13.39	25.84
<u>Psal-009</u>	1.70	1.34	-	42.82	43.04	35.87	34.25	52.98	36.47	41.53	39.00	5.07
<u>Psal-011</u>	1.92	2.36	42.52	-	77.31	70.84	69.35	41.03	36.70	64.63	50.67	27.93
<u>Psal-001</u>	3.98	2.84	45.33	76.00	-	67.08	66.75	42.80	32.04	69.16	50.60	37.12
<u>Psal-005</u>	1.88	2.36	44.10	70.86	69.74	-	69.31	40.74	29.80	69.95	49.87	40.15
<u>Psal-069</u>	2.25	2.83	45.47	83.65	82.09	84.99	-	43.68	33.55	77.69	55.62	44.14
<u>Psal-072</u>	1.85	0.74	67.18	50.07	51.04	40.78	39.22	-	29.96	57.76	43.86	27.80

DOI: https://doi.org/10.18454/jbg.2023.22.4.4

GIPSy analysis revealed that the islands showing pathogenicity features were the most abundant in all genomes, while those classified as metabolic represented 18%. Antibiotic resistance were only 5% of those evaluated, and symbiotic reached 7%, with identical percentages observed in LF- and EM-type genomes (Table 5).

<u>Genome /</u> <u>Percentage</u>	LF	EM	Total	Difference between strains
Virulence	33.754	34	34	0.3
Antibiotic resistance	4.75	5	4.87	0.3
Metabolic	17.75	18	18	0.5
Symbiotic	7	7	7	0.0

Table 5 - Percentage of factors present in chromosomes of LF and EM genogroup strains

DOI: https://doi.org/10.18454/jbg.2023.22.4.5

The most conserved islands between strains were LF-89 island-2, Psal-006b island-2 and Psal-001 island-6, with a mean total of 96.63% with a difference of 4.1% between genogroups. From the LF genogroup, LF-89 island-2, Psal-006b island-2 and LF-89 island-8 had higher values of 98.35%, 97.90% and 96.80%, respectively. From the EM genogroup, Psal-009 island-9, Psal-009 island-16 and Psal-011 island-11 had values of 100% for the EM genogroup and a difference between genogroups of 50.00%, 14.90% and 10.00%, respectively. Finally, Psal-009 island-5, Psal-006b island-1, and Psal-006b island-4 stood out.

Similar genes were observed among strains, with over 90% identity on a proportional basis for all genomes. Strain Psal-009 exhibited the largest chromosome and the greatest difference regarding Psal-006b, but with similarities between them, but in different locations. Strain Psal-011 and Psal-001 showed regions smaller than 0.4 Mb and with a similarity denoted in green, the same as between Psal-001 and Psal-005. Additionally, regions above 1 Mb are also green-colored and present both in strains Psal-009 and Psal-011, and Psal-069 and Psal-072. An abundance of red color can be noticed throughout the image, indicating preservation of genes in the same orientation and with identity values over 90%. It must be noted that the genome of Psal-006b had to be turned around because it was not clear in the image (Fig 1).





Note: links between genes were filtered, registering only links over 90% identity percentage

The genes from the antibiotic resistance database identified in *P. salmonis* genomes corresponded to sensor proteins for BaeR, TnpR, alkaline phosphatase synthesis, and Populus trichocarpa predictor protein. For metabolic type, analyses found a relationship with NAD-dependent aldehyde dehydrogenase, arabinose efflux permease, amino acid transporters, acetyl CoA dehydrogenase, amidase related to nicotinamidase, endonuclease, PEP phosphonomutase and dipeptide/tripeptide permease, highlighting PEP for sharing 60% identity with Vibrio cholerae methylisocitrate lyase. For symbiotic factors, a relationship

was found with SDR short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase, Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens gene, and two-component phosphate regulon (Table S3).

The most conserved genes observed in each category were mobile elements, such as transposases and integrases, and genes described in Swiss-Prot, such as *fur*, *bamE*, *recN*, *ppnK*, and *hslO*. The second category was the most diverse for presenting bamE, fur, recN, and ppnK, mainly due to the reappearance of islands in both Psal-006b and LF-89. The most conserved islands in the EM genogroup analyzed showed a total of 12 genes, finding genes for mobile elements, transporters, cobalt chelatase, and hypothetical proteins. Finally, 28 genes were found in the least conserved chromosomal islands, with a predominance of hypothetical proteins, genes related to phages, and components of the type IV secretion system (Table S4).

Two islands from Psal-011 and Psal-001, island-4 in each, were composed of two IS91 family transposase genes, a group II intron reverse transcriptase/maturase, and five hypothetical proteins. Of the three hypothetical proteins present in both islands, analyzed by BLASTp at NCBI, it was found that one shared 34.96% similarity, on the part of the two strains, with - lactamase coming from a different strain of *P. salmonis*, whereas the other two showed similarity to only hypothetical proteins from different strains of the same bacterium. Despite the code difference, both strains shared 86.99% identity with glycine dehydrogenase subunit I and only a reduced relationship with other hypothetical proteins (Table 6).

Table 6 - Description of genes in pathogenic islands Psal-011 island 4 y Psal-001 island 4, compared to LF-89

Locus	<u>Accession of</u> <u>reference</u>	<u>Gene product</u> <u>on Artemis</u>	Loci	Identity %	<u>E-value</u>
		Psal	-011		
RS06445	WP_03212678 9.1	hypothetical protein	PSLF89_RS36 960 F 1349828:1350 097 hypothetical protein	93.10	7e-32
RS06450	WP_15504912 4.1	hypothetical protein	PSLF89_RS37 535 R 2686852:2688 135 IS4 family transposase	43.59	9e-05
RS06455	WP_03212684 6.1	hypothetical protein	PSLF89_RS24 390 F 1350302:1350 802 hypothetical protein	86.99	2e-82
RS06460	WP_01621225 1.1	hypothetical protein	PSLF89_RS24 395 F 1350963:1352 066 hypothetical protein	93.60	4e-109
RS06465	WP_05430038 4.1	IS91 family transposase	PSLF89_RS31 530 F 2759113:2760 084 IS91 family transposase	50.83	3e-83
RS06470	WP_07527482 8.1	group II intron reverse transcriptase/ maturase	No Hits Found	,	
RS06475	WP_05213328 7.1	IS91 family transposase	PSLF89_RS25 755 R 1615597:1615 926 transposase	53.25	5e-19
RS06480	WP_01621203 9.1	hypothetical protein	PSLF89_RS24 395 F 1350963:1352	89.19	2e-107

DOI: https://doi.org/10.18454/jbg.2023.22.4.7

			066 hypothetical protein				
	<u>Psal-001</u>						
RS06660	WP_01621203 9.1	hypothetical protein	PSLF89_RS24 395 F 1350963:1352 066 hypothetical protein	89.19	2e-107		
RS06665	WP_05213328 7.1	IS91 family transposase	PSLF89_RS25 755 R 1615597:1615 926 transposase	53.25	5e-19		
RS06670	WP_07527482 8.1	group II intron reverse transcriptase/ maturase	No Hits Found		0		
RS06675	WP_05430038 4.1	IS91 family transposase	PSLF89_RS31 530 F 2759113:2760 084 IS91 family transposase	50.83	3e-83		
RS06680	WP_01621225 1.1	hypothetical protein	PSLF89_RS24 395 F 1350963:1352 066 hypothetical protein	93.60	4e-109		
RS06685	WP_01621225 0.1	hypothetical protein	PSLF89_RS24 390 F 1350302:1350 802 hypothetical protein	86.99	5e-82		
RS06690	WP_03212660 0.1	hypothetical protein	PSLF89_RS37 535 R 2686852:2688 135 IS4 family transposase				
RS06695	WP_03212678 9.1	hypothetical protein	PSLF89_RS36 960 F 1349828:1350 097 hypothetical protein	93.10	7e-32		

Note: 1 not found in LF-89. Described as "Group II intrón-encoded protein LtrA" in other P. salmonis strains

Discussion

Mechanism of acquisition of genomic islands have been studied, such as the high-pathogenicity island in *Enterobacteriaceae* linked to integrative and conjugative elements [26], and *Staphylococcus aureus* islands mobilized by helper phages [27]. However, there is not enough documentation on pathogenicity islands in genomes of *P. salmonis*. To address this, three tools are recommended: Alien Hunter, IslandViewer4 and GIPSy [28].

EM genogroup may have rearranged its islands and genes more efficiently, while the LF genogroup showed fewer independent islands when using the GIPSy tool. This difference in rearrangements can be correlated with the difference in pathogenicity between strains from these genogroups. A comparative study evaluating pathogenesis and virulence in isolates from both genogroups highlighted that the EM-90 strain, equivalent in code to Psal-001, had a higher accumulated mortality and a reduced time to death, compared to LF-89 [29].

The islands analyzed were characterized by exhibiting only mobile elements and low GC content. However, it has been found that *P. salmonis* induces an inflammatory response together with a positive regulation to produce interleukin-8 [30] and a negative regulation of cell proliferation [31], at different levels by isolates. Additionally, chemotaxis and flagellar genes, identical to those of *Vibrio cholerae* [32] and rFLA in *Vibrio anguillarum* [33], FlhF and FlhG homologs suggest that *P. salmonis* synthesizes a flagellar pole [34]. Nonetheless, genes for virulence factors were found adjacent to islands. For example, Psal-001 island 4 was closely related to genes encoding T4SS, systems related to pathogenicity, and to *dotA* gene, related to invasion and intracellular survival [35], among others.

These have been studied and identified in other microorganisms, such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, contributing to virulence [36], or *Salmonella*, incorporated through excision and replication by helper phages [37]. This demonstrates the versatility among pathogenicity islands, but this type of information has not yet been recorded in *P. salmonis*.

Databases revealed that 18 non-virulent genes were quantified, highlighting in resistance genes: phosphate regulon sharing 30% identity with the gene encoding BaeR sensor protein in *Shigella dysenteriae*, and response regulator sharing 43% identity with alkaline phosphatase synthesis in *Lysteria monocytogenes*. There is evidence of BaeR response regulator in *E. coli*, related to the drug exporter gene expression in the multidrug resistance system conferred by efflux transporters from the resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) family [38], similar to *acrAB* expression, related to this family of transporters [39]. For alkaline phosphatase, former studies revealed spatially and temporarily heterogeneous expression in phosphate deprivation in bacterial biofilms [40]. The reference gene in *P. salmonis* has been described as an unspecified response regulator, stating that this gene could play a metabolic role.

Metabolic genes *elbB* shared 53% identity for uncharacterized protein involved in early stage of isoprenoid biosynthesis by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, important during intracellular survival of pathogenic bacteria [41], followed by FAD-binding protein in *P. salmonis* with 53% identity with pyruvate-oxoglutarate complex related gene of *Sinorhizobium melilot* allowing us to assume that it is related to tricarboxylic acid cycle in *P. salmonis*. Metabolic islands can be further addressed by considering the auxotroph presented by *P. salmonis*, which has allowed the formulation of culture media according to amino acids requirements [42].

The third group of genes identified are symbiosis factors, like the regulon phosphate gene of *Rhizobium etli* and the *phoR* gene. Reference genes in *P. salmonis* were the same for resistance factors category.

P. salmonis has many hypothetical proteins in islands, maybe because of the scarcity of gene characterization or curation. This is necessary to further elucidate the mechanisms in *P. salmonis* or understand the importance of specific domains for antibacterial agents' development. Based on this and the percentage of factors within bacterial chromosomes (Table 5), it may be suggested that would be use metabolic mechanisms rather than antibiotic resistance.

The analysis of genes presents in selected islands and their follow-up revealed that hypothetical proteins stand out in the first place with a total of 17 genes, followed by mobile elements of IS group with almost 350 among all types, including unclassified transposases. It is speculated that the IS91 family originated by plasmid evolution [43], and that stress generated at subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics can result in rearrangements in the bacterial genome [44]. Likewise, IS6 family has demonstrated it plays a key role in the incorporation of antibiotic resistance genes into other bacteria [45]. However, among the islands selected, EM genogroup revealed more highly conserved mobile genetic elements in its own genogroup, leading us to propose that this genogroup could adapt better through this mechanism.

Nonetheless, crucial genes related to pathogenic process has been found, such as the *fur*, *recN* and *hslO* gene. *Fur* is associated with iron uptake [46], *recN* is associated with DNA repair in *N. gonorrhoeae* [47], and *hslO* protects cellular proteins from oxidative stress [48]. If these are in islands and exhibit high conservation levels in the genome, it may be possible to investigate differential expression according to location in the genomic islands.

The *mazE* gene was found in island 1 of Psal-009. It has been demonstrated that *mazEF* complex overexpression leads to biofilm formation under stressful conditions [49]. It was also found in plasmid 1 of the LF-89 strain, demonstrating the genomic plasticity of *P. salmonis*. All plasmids from specific strains present mosaic characteristics for being plasmids composed of genetic elements from different sources [50], and associated with antibiotic resistance genes [51]. Moreover, some genes were present more than once in some genomes, simulating gene duplication behavior [39].

Considering the high rearrangement potential, justified by the finding of a large number of mobile elements, a representative figure was elaborated to assess the degree of gene conservation within already established genomic islands (Tables S1 & S2). This allows for determining the degree of conservation in plasmids of the same strain, in the bacterial chromosome, and between different plasmids (Fig. 2). Based on this, it can be stated that the mazEF complex is also found in plasmids of strains LF-89, Psal-001, Psal-005, Psal-011, Psal-069 and Psal-072. Also, Psal-011 shares a rearrangement resembling more that of the EM genogroup than that of the LF genogroup. Also, the EM genogroup presents a pattern of gene conservation in the chromosomes over plasmids, unlike Psal-009.



Figure 2 - Conservation of pathogenicity islands in genomes of *P. salmonis* strains, measured by BLASTp, distributed by strains, and ordered by chromosome location DOI: https://doi.org/10.18454/jbg.2023.22.4.8

Note: a - conservation of pathogenicity islands in plasmids of the same strain; b - conservation of pathogenicity islands in bacterial chromosomes; c - conservation of pathogenicity islands in bacterial plasmids

The data collected was used to visualize the variation and displacement of bacterial chromosome regions with respect to adjacent strains (Fig 3). Within the LF genogroup, strains Psal-009 and Psal-011 were double-checked, but a random rearrangement can be seen, without any salvageable pattern, beyond the convergence of the islands in the region close to 500 kb. On the other hand, more evident trends are observed in the EM genogroup, such as the maintenance of islands 2 and 3 among all strains, and in strain Psal-072, the incorporation of elements from island 6 in Psal-069 and part of the migration of elements in island 3 of the same strain. Another variation consists of island 8 in Psal-001 that constantly migrates to island 9, conserving, in turn, island 10, where this region, between islands 9 and 10, is probably relevant in the pathogenic process. Nonetheless, in the same genogroup, islands from the original strain disappeared in neighboring strains, such as islands 6 and island 5 in Psal-005, but reappeared in Psal-072, demonstrating how EM genogroup rearranges effectively. Another interesting pattern observed was how Psal-001 strain, being an original strain in EM, visually exhibited organization and rearrangements more alike to Psal-011. In addition to the above, when observing the islands in Psal-001, most of them presented similarities with Psal-011, unlike island 5, allowing us to suggest that Psal-011 could present a pathogeny more like Psal-001 than LF strains.



Figure 3 - Integrative synteny of pathogenicity islands in genomes with respect to original strains LF-89 and Psal-001, comprising a range between 0 and 3M base pairs DOI: https://doi.org/10.18454/jbg.2023.22.4.9

Note: older strains with pathogenicity islands for each genogroup; sequential numbers were used; for adjacent strains, the previous number was preserved if only one island with former content was present; the apostrophe (') was added if the previous island occurred in more than one island in a more recent strain; new islands unrelated to previous strains were identified with a new number

Synteny of bacterial chromosomes showed patterns, such as strains similar to each other from gene rearrangements perspective. However, unique rearrangements were also observed in Psal-006b and Psal-009, which exhibited the greatest

variations. The difference between Psal-001 and Psal-011 was the same as that between Psal-001 and Psal-005, allowing us to propose that Psal-011 may have a similar expression profile to Psal-005 relative to its own genogroup. There is also a possibility of a large inversion in a region of about 1 Mb in size in Psal-009 with Psal-011, and Psal-069 with Psal-072. Moreover, it is relevant to highlight the fact that Psal-011 and Psal-009 are considered genogroup EM strains with pan-genome analysis criteria [52].

Due to the aforementioned, the need for identifying virulence factors among high- and low-virulence strains is reaffirmed through the use of genomic and proteomic tools [53] in order to determine the relevance of these pathogenic islands and identify adequate treatments, such as using an in silico approach to design a vaccine candidate.

Conclusion

The EM genogroup presents similar islands between strains, in a greater proportion than the LF genogroup, with a lower variability. Among the islands observed, a low number of virulent factors was detected, these factors could accumulate in adjacent regions, leaving the islands as gateways for the pathogenic process. On the metabolic, resistance and symbiosis factors, despite presenting low identities, the genes themselves have the same names, reaffirming the type of non-virulent factor in genomic islands. Further studies consider the intervention of these islands and the impact on pathogenicity, in addition to validating the resistance, metabolic and symbiosis islands, regarding their role pertinent to the name of the subtype.

Финансирование	Funding
Исследование финансировалось FONDAP-ANID, номер	This research was funded by FONDAP-ANID, grant number
гранта 1522А0004.	1522A0004.
Конфликт интересов	Conflict of Interest
Не указан.	None declared.
Рецензия	Review
Все статьи проходят рецензирование. Но рецензент или	All articles are peer-reviewed. But the reviewer or the author
автор статьи предпочли не публиковать рецензию к этой	of the article chose not to publish a review of this article in
статье в открытом доступе. Рецензия может быть	the public domain. The review can be provided to the
предоставлена компетентным органам по запросу.	competent authorities upon request.

Список литературы / References

1. Figueroa J. Analysis of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) Associated with Antibiotic Resistance Genes in Chilean Piscirickettsia Salmonis Strains / J. Figueroa, D. Castro, F. Lagos [et al.] // The Journal of Fish Diseases. — 2019. — № 42. — P. 1645-1655.

2. Informe sanitario con información sanitaria de agua dulce y mar 1° semestre año 2022: reporte // Servicio Nacional de Pesca y Acuicultura. — Valparaíso, 2022. — 35 p.

3. Fryer J.I. Piscirickettsia salmonis: A Gram-negative Intracellular Bacterial Pathogen of Fish / J.I. Fryer, R.P. Hedrick // The Journal of Fish Diseases. — 2003. — № 26. — P. 251-262.

4. Levipan H.A. Improved Understanding of Biofilm Development by Piscirickettsia Salmonis Reveals Potential Risks for the Persistence and Dissemination of Piscirickettsiosis / H.A. Levipan, R. Irgang, A. Yañez [et al.] // Scientific Reports. — 2020. — №10. — P. 1-16.

5. Langille M.G.I. Detecting Genomic Islands Using Bioinformatics Approaches / M.G.I. Langille, W.W.L. Hsiao, F.S.L. Brinkman // Nature Reviews Microbiology. — 2010. — № 8. — P. 373-382.

6. Dobrindt U. Genomic Islands in Pathogenic and Environmental Microorganisms / U. Dobrindt, B. Hochhut, U. Hentschel [et al.] // Nature Reviews Microbiology. — 2004. — № 2. — P. 414-424.

7. Klein S. Occurrence and Significance of Pathogenicity and Fitness Islands in Environmental Vibrios / S. Klein, S. Pipes, C.R. Lovell // AMB Express. — 2018. — № 8. — Р. 177.

8. Hacker J. Ecological Fitness, Genomic Islands, and Bacterial Pathogenicity. A Darwinian View of the Evolution of Microbes / J. Hacker, E. Carniel // EMBO Reports. — 2001. — № 2. — P. 376-381.

9. Lagos F. Identification of Genomic Islands in Chilean Piscirickettsia Salmonis Strains and Analysis of Gene Expression Involved in Virulence / F. Lagos, C. Cartes, T. Vera [et al.] // The Journal of Fish Diseases. — 2017. — № 40. — P. 1321-1331.

10. Pulgar R. Complete Genome Sequence of Piscirickettsia Salmonis LF-89 (ATCC VR-1361) a Major Pathogen of Farmed Salmonid Fish / R. Pulgar, D. Travisany, A. Zúñiga [et al.] // Journal of Biotechnology. — 2015. — № 212. — P. 30-31.

11. Soares S.C. GIPSy: Genomic Island Prediction Software / S.C. Soares, H. Geyik, R.T.J. Ramos [et al.] // Journal of Biotechnology. — 2016. — № 232. — P. 2-11.

12. Bertelli C. IslandViewer 4: Expanded Prediction of Genomic Islands for Larger-scale Datasets / C. Bertelli, M.R. Laird, K.P. Williams [et al.] // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2017. — № 45. — W30-W35.

13. Altschul S.F. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool / S.F. Altschul, W. Gish, W. Miller [et al.] // Journal of Molecular Biology. — 1990. — № 215. — P. 403-410.

14. Langille M.G.I. Evaluation of Genomic Island Predictors Using a Comparative Genomics Approach / M.G.I. Langille, W.W.L. Hsiao, F.S.L. Brinkman // BMC Bioinformatics. — 2008. — № 9. — P. 329.

15. Hsiao W.W.L. Evidence of a Large Novel Gene Pool Associated with Prokaryotic Genomic Islands / W.W.L. Hsiao, K. Ung, D. Aeschliman [et al.] // PLOS Genetics. — 2005. — № 1. — P. 62.

16. Waack S. Score-based Prediction of Genomic Islands in Prokaryotic Genomes Using Hidden Markov Models / S. Waack, O. Keller, R. Asper [et al.] // BMC Bioinformatics. — 2006. — № 7. — P. 142.

17. Mantri Y. Islander: a Database of Integrative Islands in Prokaryotic Genomes, the Associated Integrases and Their DNA Site Specificities / Y. Mantri, K. P. Williams // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2004. — № 32. — D55-D58.

18. Galperin M.Y. Expanded Microbial Genome Coverage and Improved Protein Family Annotation in the COG Database / M.Y. Galperin, K.S. Makarova, Y.I. Wolf [et al.] // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2015. — № 43. — D261-D269.

19. Liu B. ARDB – Antibiotic Resistance Genes Database / B. Liu, M. Pop // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2009. — № 37. — D443-D447.

20. Alcock B.P. CARD 2020: Antibiotic Resistome Surveillance with the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database / B.P. Alcock, A.R. Raphenya, T.T.Y. Lau [et al.] // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2020. — № 48. — D517-D525.

21. Mao C. NodMutDB: a Database for Genes and Mutants Involved in Symbiosis / C. Mao, J. Qiu, C. Wang, [et al.] // Bioinformatics. — 2005. — № 21. — P. 2927-2929.

22. Carver T. Artemis: an Integrated Platform for Visualization and Analysis of High-throughput Sequence-based Experimental Data / T. Carver, S.R. Harris, M. Berriman [et al.] // Bioinformatics. — 2012. — № 28. — P. 464-469.

23. Conesa A. Blast2GO: a Universal Tool for Annotation, Visualization and Analysis in Functional Genomics Research / A. Conesa, S. Götz, J.M. García-Gómez [et al.] // Bioinformatics. — 2005. — № 21. — P. 3674-3676.

24. UniProt: a Hub for Protein Information / The UniProt Consortium // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2015. — № 43. — D204-D212.

25. Shimoyama Y. pyGenomeViz: A Genome Visualization Python Package for Comparative Genomics / Y. Shimoyama. — 2022.

26. Paauw A. Evolution in Quantum Leaps: Multiple Combinatorial Transfers of HPI and Other Genetic Modules in Enterobacteriaceae / A. Paauw, M.A. Leverstein-van Hall, J. Verhoef [et al.] // PLoS ONE. — 2010. — № 5.

27. Daccord A. Comparative Analysis of Mobilizable Genomic Islands / A. Daccord, D. Ceccarelli, S. Rodrigue [et al.] // Journal of Bacteriology. — 2013. — № 195. — P. 606-614.

28. da Silva Filho A.C. Comparative Analysis of Genomic Island Prediction Tools / A.C. da Silva Filho, R.T. Raittz, D. Guizelini [et al.] // Frontiers in Genetics. — 2018. — № 9.

29. Rozas-Serri M. Comparative Pathogenesis of Piscirickettsiosis in Atlantic Salmon (Salmo Salar) Post-smolt Experimentally Challenged with LF-89-like and EM-90-like Piscirickettsia Salmonis Isolates / M. Rozas-Serri, R. Ildefonso, A. Peña [et al.] // The Journal of Fish Diseases. — 2017. — \mathbb{N}_{2} 40. — P. 1451-1472.

30. Carrizo V. Transcriptomic Analysis Reveals a Piscirickettsia Salmonis-induced Early Inflammatory Response in Rainbow Trout Skeletal Muscle / V. Carrizo, C.A. Valenzuela, C. Aros [et al.] // Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology – Part D: Genomics and Proteomics. — 2021. — N $_{2}$ 39.

31. Zuloaga R. RNA-seq-based Analysis of Cortisol-induced Differential Gene Expression Associated with Piscirickettsia Salmonis Infection in Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus Mykiss) Myotubes / R. Zuloaga, P. Dettleff, M. Bastias-molina [et al.] // Animals. — 2021. — № 11. — P. 2399.

32. Prouty M.G. The Novel Sigma54- and Sigma28-dependent Flagellar Gene Transcription Hierarchy of Vibrio Cholerae / M.G. Prouty, N.E. Correa, K.E. Klose // Molecular Microbiology. — 2001. — № 39. — P. 1595-1609.

33. González-Stegmaier R. Full Recombinant Flagellin B from Vibrio Anguillarum (rFLA) and Its Recombinant D1 Domain (rND1) Promote a Pro-inflammatory State and Improve Vaccination against P. Salmonis in Atlantic Salmon (S. salar) / R. González-Stegmaier, A. Peña, F. Villarroel-Espíndola [et al.] // Developmental & Comparative Immunology. — 2021. — № 117.

34. Correa N.E. Roles of the Regulatory Proteins FlhF and FlhG in the Vibrio Cholerae Flagellar Transcription Hierarchy / N.E. Correa, F. Peng, K.E. Klose // Journal of Bacteriology. — 2005. — № 187. — P. 6324-6332.

35. Bumbaugh A.C. Sequence Polymorphism of dotA and mip Alleles Mediating Invasion and Intracellular Replication of Legionella pneumophila / A.C. Bumbaugh, E.A. McGraw, K.L. Page [et al.] // Current Microbiology. — 2002. — № 44. — P. 314-322.

36. Mir-Sanchis I. Control of Staphylococcus Aureus Pathogenicity Island Excision / I. Mir-Sanchis, R. Martínez-Rubio, M. Martí [et al.] // Molecular Microbiology. — 2012. — № 85. — P. 833-845.

37. Marcus S.L. Salmonella Pathogenicity Islands: Big Virulence in Small Packages / S.L. Marcus, J.H. Brumell, C.G. Pfeifer [et al.] // Microbes and Infection. — 2000. — № 2. — P. 145-156.

38. Nagakubo S. The Putative Response Regulator BaeR Stimulates Multidrug Resistance of Escherichia coli via a Novel Multidrug Exporter System, MdtABC / S. Nagakubo, K. Nishino, T. Hirata [et al.] // Journal of Bacteriology. — 2002. — № 184. — P. 4161-4167.

39. Sandoval R. Resistance-nodulation-division Efflux Pump acrAB is Modulated by Florfenicol and Contributes to Drug Resistance in the Fish Pathogen Piscirickettsia Salmonis / R. Sandoval, C. Oliver, S. Valdivia [et al.] // FEMS Microbiology Letters. — 2016. — № 363. — P. 1-7.

40. Huang C.T. Spatial Patterns of Alkaline Phosphatase Expression within Bacterial Colonies and Biofilms in Response to Phosphate Starvation / C.T. Huang, K.D. Xu, G.A. McFeters [et al.] // Applied and Environmental Microbiology. — 1998. — № 64. — P. 1526-1531.

41. Heuston S. Isoprenoid Biosynthesis in Bacterial Pathogens / S. Heuston, M. Begley, C.G.M. Gahan [et al.] // Microbiology. — 2012. — № 158. — P. 1389-1401.

42. Fuentealba P. Engineering a Defined Culture Medium to Grow Piscirickettsia Salmonis for Its Use in Vaccine Formulations / P. Fuentealba, Y. Latorre, E. González [et al.] // Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology. — 2020. — № 47. — P. 299-309.

43. Mendiola M.V. IS91 Transposase is Related to the Rolling-circle-type Replication Proteins of the pUB110 Family of Plasmids / M.V. Mendiola, F. de la Cruz // Nucleic Acids Research. — 1992. — № 20. — P. 3521.

44. Pedró L. Antibiotics Shaping Bacterial Genome: Deletion of an IS91 Flanked Virulence Determinant upon Exposure to Subinhibitory Antibiotic Concentrations / L. Pedró, R.C. Baños, S. Aznar [et al.] // PLoS ONE. — 2011. — № 6.

45. Varani A. The IS 6 Family, a Clinically Important Group of Insertion Sequences Including IS 26 / A. Varani, S. He, P. Siguier [et al.] // Mobile DNA. — 2021. — № 12. — Р. 1-18.

46. Pulgar R. Transcriptional Response of Atlantic Salmon Families to Piscirickettsia Salmonis Infection Highlights the Relevance of the Iron-deprivation Defence System / R. Pulgar, C. Hödar, D. Travisany [et al.] // BMC Genomics. — 2015. — № 16. - P. 495.

47. Skaar E.P. Roles of the recJ and recN Genes in Homologous Recombination and DNA Repair Pathways of Neisseria Gonorrhoeae / E.P. Skaar, M.P. Lazio, H.S. Seifert // Journal of Bacteriology. — 2002. — № 184. — P. 919-927.

48. Jakob U. Chaperone Activity with a Redox Switch / U. Jakob, W. Muse, M. Eser [et al.] // Cell. — 1999. — № 96. — P. 341-352.

49. Zúñiga A. Transcriptional Analysis of Metabolic and Virulence Genes Associated with Biofilm Formation in Piscirickettsia Salmonis Strains / A. Zúñiga, C. Solis, C. Cartes [et al.] // FEMS Microbiology Letters. — 2020. — № 367.

50. Pesesky M.W. Mosaic Plasmids are Abundant and Unevenly Distributed across Prokaryotic Taxa / M.W. Pesesky, R. Tilley, D.A.C. Beck // Plasmid. — 2019. — № 102. — P. 10-18.

51. Ortiz-Severín J. Piscirickettsia salmonis Cryptic Plasmids: Source of Mobile DNA and Virulence Factors / J. Ortiz-Severín, D. Travisany, A. Maass [et al.] // Pathogens. — 2019. — № 8. — P. 269.

52. Isla A. Development of a Multiplex PCR Assay for Genotyping the Fish Pathogen Piscirickettsia salmonis Through Comparative Genomics / A. Isla, J.E. Martinez-Hernandez, H.A. Levipan [et al.] // Frontiers in Microbiology. — 2021. — № 12.

53. Rozas M. Piscirickettsiosis and Piscirickettsia Salmonis in Fish: a review / M. Rozas, R. Enríquez // The Journal of Fish Diseases. — 2014. — № 37. — P. 163-188.

Список литературы на английском языке / References in English

1. Figueroa J. Analysis of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) Associated with Antibiotic Resistance Genes in Chilean Piscirickettsia Salmonis Strains / J. Figueroa, D. Castro, F. Lagos [et al.] // The Journal of Fish Diseases. — 2019. — № 42. — P. 1645-1655.

2. Informe sanitario con información sanitaria de agua dulce y mar 1° semestre año 2022 [Sanitary report with sanitary information on freshwater and seawater for the first half of the year 2022]: report // National Fisheries and Aquaculture Service. — Valparaiso, 2022. — 35 p. [in Spanish]

3. Fryer J.I. Piscirickettsia salmonis: A Gram-negative Intracellular Bacterial Pathogen of Fish / J.I. Fryer, R.P. Hedrick // The Journal of Fish Diseases. — 2003. — № 26. — P. 251-262.

4. Levipan H.A. Improved Understanding of Biofilm Development by Piscirickettsia Salmonis Reveals Potential Risks for the Persistence and Dissemination of Piscirickettsiosis / H.A. Levipan, R. Irgang, A. Yañez [et al.] // Scientific Reports. — 2020. — No10. — P. 1-16.

5. Langille M.G.I. Detecting Genomic Islands Using Bioinformatics Approaches / M.G.I. Langille, W.W.L. Hsiao, F.S.L. Brinkman // Nature Reviews Microbiology. — 2010. — № 8. — P. 373-382.

6. Dobrindt U. Genomic Islands in Pathogenic and Environmental Microorganisms / U. Dobrindt, B. Hochhut, U. Hentschel [et al.] // Nature Reviews Microbiology. — 2004. — № 2. — P. 414-424.

7. Klein S. Occurrence and Significance of Pathogenicity and Fitness Islands in Environmental Vibrios / S. Klein, S. Pipes, C.R. Lovell // AMB Express. — 2018. — № 8. — Р. 177.

8. Hacker J. Ecological Fitness, Genomic Islands, and Bacterial Pathogenicity. A Darwinian View of the Evolution of Microbes / J. Hacker, E. Carniel // EMBO Reports. — 2001. — № 2. — P. 376-381.

9. Lagos F. Identification of Genomic Islands in Chilean Piscirickettsia Salmonis Strains and Analysis of Gene Expression Involved in Virulence / F. Lagos, C. Cartes, T. Vera [et al.] // The Journal of Fish Diseases. — 2017. — № 40. — P. 1321-1331.

10. Pulgar R. Complete Genome Sequence of Piscirickettsia Salmonis LF-89 (ATCC VR-1361) a Major Pathogen of Farmed Salmonid Fish / R. Pulgar, D. Travisany, A. Zúñiga [et al.] // Journal of Biotechnology. — 2015. — № 212. — P. 30-31.

11. Soares S.C. GIPSy: Genomic Island Prediction Software / S.C. Soares, H. Geyik, R.T.J. Ramos [et al.] // Journal of Biotechnology. — 2016. — № 232. — P. 2-11.

12. Bertelli C. IslandViewer 4: Expanded Prediction of Genomic Islands for Larger-scale Datasets / C. Bertelli, M.R. Laird, K.P. Williams [et al.] // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2017. — № 45. — W30-W35.

13. Altschul S.F. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool / S.F. Altschul, W. Gish, W. Miller [et al.] // Journal of Molecular Biology. — 1990. — № 215. — P. 403-410.

14. Langille M.G.I. Evaluation of Genomic Island Predictors Using a Comparative Genomics Approach / M.G.I. Langille, W.W.L. Hsiao, F.S.L. Brinkman // BMC Bioinformatics. — 2008. — № 9. — P. 329.

15. Hsiao W.W.L. Evidence of a Large Novel Gene Pool Associated with Prokaryotic Genomic Islands / W.W.L. Hsiao, K. Ung, D. Aeschliman [et al.] // PLOS Genetics. — 2005. — № 1. — P. 62.

16. Waack S. Score-based Prediction of Genomic Islands in Prokaryotic Genomes Using Hidden Markov Models / S. Waack, O. Keller, R. Asper [et al.] // BMC Bioinformatics. — 2006. — N_{0} 7. — P. 142.

17. Mantri Y. Islander: a Database of Integrative Islands in Prokaryotic Genomes, the Associated Integrases and Their DNA Site Specificities / Y. Mantri, K. P. Williams // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2004. — № 32. — D55-D58.

18. Galperin M.Y. Expanded Microbial Genome Coverage and Improved Protein Family Annotation in the COG Database / M.Y. Galperin, K.S. Makarova, Y.I. Wolf [et al.] // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2015. — № 43. — D261-D269.

19. Liu B. ARDB – Antibiotic Resistance Genes Database / B. Liu, M. Pop // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2009. — № 37. – D443-D447.

20. Alcock B.P. CARD 2020: Antibiotic Resistome Surveillance with the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database / B.P. Alcock, A.R. Raphenya, T.T.Y. Lau [et al.] // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2020. — № 48. — D517-D525.

21. Mao C. NodMutDB: a Database for Genes and Mutants Involved in Symbiosis / C. Mao, J. Qiu, C. Wang, [et al.] // Bioinformatics. — 2005. — № 21. — P. 2927-2929.

22. Carver T. Artemis: an Integrated Platform for Visualization and Analysis of High-throughput Sequence-based Experimental Data / T. Carver, S.R. Harris, M. Berriman [et al.] // Bioinformatics. — 2012. — № 28. — P. 464-469.

23. Conesa A. Blast2GO: a Universal Tool for Annotation, Visualization and Analysis in Functional Genomics Research / A. Conesa, S. Götz, J.M. García-Gómez [et al.] // Bioinformatics. — 2005. — № 21. — P. 3674-3676.

24. UniProt: a Hub for Protein Information / The UniProt Consortium // Nucleic Acids Research. — 2015. — № 43. — D204-D212.

25. Shimoyama Y. pyGenomeViz: A Genome Visualization Python Package for Comparative Genomics / Y. Shimoyama. — 2022.

26. Paauw A. Evolution in Quantum Leaps: Multiple Combinatorial Transfers of HPI and Other Genetic Modules in Enterobacteriaceae / A. Paauw, M.A. Leverstein-van Hall, J. Verhoef [et al.] // PLoS ONE. — 2010. — № 5.

27. Daccord A. Comparative Analysis of Mobilizable Genomic Islands / A. Daccord, D. Ceccarelli, S. Rodrigue [et al.] // Journal of Bacteriology. — 2013. — № 195. — P. 606-614.

28. da Silva Filho A.C. Comparative Analysis of Genomic Island Prediction Tools / A.C. da Silva Filho, R.T. Raittz, D. Guizelini [et al.] // Frontiers in Genetics. — 2018. — \mathbb{N}_{9} 9.

29. Rozas-Serri M. Comparative Pathogenesis of Piscirickettsiosis in Atlantic Salmon (Salmo Salar) Post-smolt Experimentally Challenged with LF-89-like and EM-90-like Piscirickettsia Salmonis Isolates / M. Rozas-Serri, R. Ildefonso, A. Peña [et al.] // The Journal of Fish Diseases. — 2017. — № 40. — P. 1451-1472.

30. Carrizo V. Transcriptomic Analysis Reveals a Piscirickettsia Salmonis-induced Early Inflammatory Response in Rainbow Trout Skeletal Muscle / V. Carrizo, C.A. Valenzuela, C. Aros [et al.] // Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology – Part D: Genomics and Proteomics. — 2021. — N_{0} 39.

31. Zuloaga R. RNA-seq-based Analysis of Cortisol-induced Differential Gene Expression Associated with Piscirickettsia Salmonis Infection in Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus Mykiss) Myotubes / R. Zuloaga, P. Dettleff, M. Bastias-molina [et al.] // Animals. — 2021. — N $_{2}$ 11. — P. 2399.

32. Prouty M.G. The Novel Sigma54- and Sigma28-dependent Flagellar Gene Transcription Hierarchy of Vibrio Cholerae / M.G. Prouty, N.E. Correa, K.E. Klose // Molecular Microbiology. — 2001. — № 39. — P. 1595-1609.

33. González-Stegmaier R. Full Recombinant Flagellin B from Vibrio Anguillarum (rFLA) and Its Recombinant D1 Domain (rND1) Promote a Pro-inflammatory State and Improve Vaccination against P. Salmonis in Atlantic Salmon (S. salar) / R. González-Stegmaier, A. Peña, F. Villarroel-Espíndola [et al.] // Developmental & Comparative Immunology. — 2021. — № 117.

34. Correa N.E. Roles of the Regulatory Proteins FlhF and FlhG in the Vibrio Cholerae Flagellar Transcription Hierarchy / N.E. Correa, F. Peng, K.E. Klose // Journal of Bacteriology. — 2005. — № 187. — P. 6324-6332.

35. Bumbaugh A.C. Sequence Polymorphism of dotA and mip Alleles Mediating Invasion and Intracellular Replication of Legionella pneumophila / A.C. Bumbaugh, E.A. McGraw, K.L. Page [et al.] // Current Microbiology. — 2002. — № 44. — P. 314-322.

36. Mir-Sanchis I. Control of Staphylococcus Aureus Pathogenicity Island Excision / I. Mir-Sanchis, R. Martínez-Rubio, M. Martí [et al.] // Molecular Microbiology. — 2012. — № 85. — P. 833-845.

37. Marcus S.L. Salmonella Pathogenicity Islands: Big Virulence in Small Packages / S.L. Marcus, J.H. Brumell, C.G. Pfeifer [et al.] // Microbes and Infection. — 2000. — № 2. — P. 145-156.

38. Nagakubo S. The Putative Response Regulator BaeR Stimulates Multidrug Resistance of Escherichia coli via a Novel Multidrug Exporter System, MdtABC / S. Nagakubo, K. Nishino, T. Hirata [et al.] // Journal of Bacteriology. — 2002. — № 184. — P. 4161-4167.

39. Sandoval R. Resistance-nodulation-division Efflux Pump acrAB is Modulated by Florfenicol and Contributes to Drug Resistance in the Fish Pathogen Piscirickettsia Salmonis / R. Sandoval, C. Oliver, S. Valdivia [et al.] // FEMS Microbiology Letters. — 2016. — № 363. — P. 1-7.

40. Huang C.T. Spatial Patterns of Alkaline Phosphatase Expression within Bacterial Colonies and Biofilms in Response to Phosphate Starvation / C.T. Huang, K.D. Xu, G.A. McFeters [et al.] // Applied and Environmental Microbiology. — 1998. — № 64. — P. 1526-1531.

41. Heuston S. Isoprenoid Biosynthesis in Bacterial Pathogens / S. Heuston, M. Begley, C.G.M. Gahan [et al.] // Microbiology. — 2012. — № 158. — P. 1389-1401.

42. Fuentealba P. Engineering a Defined Culture Medium to Grow Piscirickettsia Salmonis for Its Use in Vaccine Formulations / P. Fuentealba, Y. Latorre, E. González [et al.] // Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology. — 2020. — № 47. — P. 299-309.

43. Mendiola M.V. IS91 Transposase is Related to the Rolling-circle-type Replication Proteins of the pUB110 Family of Plasmids / M.V. Mendiola, F. de la Cruz // Nucleic Acids Research. — 1992. — № 20. — P. 3521.

44. Pedró L. Antibiotics Shaping Bacterial Genome: Deletion of an IS91 Flanked Virulence Determinant upon Exposure to Subinhibitory Antibiotic Concentrations / L. Pedró, R.C. Baños, S. Aznar [et al.] // PLoS ONE. — 2011. — № 6.

45. Varani A. The IS 6 Family, a Clinically Important Group of Insertion Sequences Including IS 26 / A. Varani, S. He, P. Siguier [et al.] // Mobile DNA. — 2021. — № 12. — Р. 1-18.

46. Pulgar R. Transcriptional Response of Atlantic Salmon Families to Piscirickettsia Salmonis Infection Highlights the Relevance of the Iron-deprivation Defence System / R. Pulgar, C. Hödar, D. Travisany [et al.] // BMC Genomics. — 2015. — № 16. - P. 495.

47. Skaar E.P. Roles of the recJ and recN Genes in Homologous Recombination and DNA Repair Pathways of Neisseria Gonorrhoeae / E.P. Skaar, M.P. Lazio, H.S. Seifert // Journal of Bacteriology. — 2002. — № 184. — P. 919-927.

48. Jakob U. Chaperone Activity with a Redox Switch / U. Jakob, W. Muse, M. Eser [et al.] // Cell. — 1999. — № 96. — P. 341-352.

49. Zúñiga A. Transcriptional Analysis of Metabolic and Virulence Genes Associated with Biofilm Formation in Piscirickettsia Salmonis Strains / A. Zúñiga, C. Solis, C. Cartes [et al.] // FEMS Microbiology Letters. — 2020. — № 367.

50. Pesesky M.W. Mosaic Plasmids are Abundant and Unevenly Distributed across Prokaryotic Taxa / M.W. Pesesky, R. Tilley, D.A.C. Beck // Plasmid. — 2019. — № 102. — P. 10-18.

51. Ortiz-Severín J. Piscirickettsia salmonis Cryptic Plasmids: Source of Mobile DNA and Virulence Factors / J. Ortiz-Severín, D. Travisany, A. Maass [et al.] // Pathogens. — 2019. — № 8. — Р. 269.

52. Isla A. Development of a Multiplex PCR Assay for Genotyping the Fish Pathogen Piscirickettsia salmonis Through Comparative Genomics / A. Isla, J.E. Martinez-Hernandez, H.A. Levipan [et al.] // Frontiers in Microbiology. — 2021. — № 12.

53. Rozas M. Piscirickettsiosis and Piscirickettsia Salmonis in Fish: a review / M. Rozas, R. Enríquez // The Journal of Fish Diseases. — 2014. — № 37. — P. 163-188.